STIP 1 BRIAN J. SMITH, ESQ. State Bar Number 11279 2 9525 Hillwood Dr., Suite 190 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 3 702-380-8248 4 brian@bjsmithcriminaldefense.com **Attorney for HERNANDEZ** 5 6 7 8 **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA** 9 10 11 VS. 12 **AARON HERNAN** 13 14 15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

OF AWIERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
) Case No.: 2:16-cr-00122-APG-VCF
)
) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
NDEZ,) HEARING REGARDING REVOCATION
) OF SUPERVISED RELEASE
Defendant.)
) (THIRD REQUEST)

Certification: This stipulation is filed pursuant to General Order 2007-04.

IT IS STIPULATED between the defendant AARON HERNANDEZ through his attorney BRIAN J. SMITH, ESQ., and the United States of America, through BRANDON JAROCH, Assistant United States Attorney, that the hearing regarding revocation of supervised release currently scheduled for December 29, 2016, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., be vacated and set to a date and time convenient to this court, but no event earlier than ninety (90) days.

This Stipulation is entered into pursuant to General Order 2007-04 and based upon the following:

- 1. There have been two previous continuances granted to the defense in this case.
- 2. Defense counsel was appointed on April 21, 2016.
- 3. The defense will require more time to complete said discovery review.
- 4. The parties agree to the continuance.

1	Denial of this request for continuance would deny the defendant sufficie			
		time to be able to fairly resolve his case, taking into account the exercise of		
2		due diligence.		
3	6.	Also, denial of this request or continuance would result in a miscarriage of		
4		justice.		
5	7.	For the above stated reasons, the parties agree that a continuance of the		
6		calendar call and trial date would best serve the ends of justice in this case.		
7	8.	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
8		the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the		
9		Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under		
10		18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).		
	9.	This is the third request for a continuance on the hearing regarding		
11	revocation of supervised release in this case.			
12	DATE	ED this 20th day of December, 2016.		
13	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY:			
14	INLOI LOTI	OLL I GODIVII I ILD DI .		
15	ls/ Bra	ndon Jaroch /s/ Brian J. Smith		
16	BRANDON	JAROCH BRIAN J. SMITH		
17	Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for HERNANDEZ			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				

	STIP				
1	BRIAN J. SMITH, ESQ.				
2	State Bar Number 11279				
3	9525 Hillwood Dr., Suite 190 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134				
5	702-380-8248				
4	brian@bjsmithcriminaldefense.com	1			
5	Attorney for HERNANDEZ				
6	UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT			
7 8	IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)			
10	Plaintiff,)			
	·) Case No.: 2:16-cr-00122-APG-VCF			
11	vs.)) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE			
12	AARON HERNANDEZ,) HEARING REGARDING REVOCATION			
13	Defendant.) OF SUPERVISED RELEASE			
14	Defendant.)) (THIRD REQUEST)			
15		<u></u>			
16	<u>FIN</u>	IDINGS OF FACT			
17	Based upon the submitted Sti	pulation, and good cause appearing therefore, the			
18	Court finds that:	pulation, and good cause appearing incretore, inc			
19					
20	1. The defense will require additional time to review the submitted discovery				
	this case.				
21	2. This stipulation complies with General Order 2007-04.				
22					
23	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
24	1. Denial of this request for continuance would deny the defense herei				
25	sufficient time and the c	opportunity within which to be able to effectively and			
26	thoroughly research and prepare this case, taking into account the exercise				
27	of due diligence.				
	2. Additionally, denial of	this request for continuance would result in a			
28	miscarriage of justice.				

- 3. For all of the above stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the trial date, and such continuance outweighs the best interest of the public and the defendant to a speedy trial.
- 4. The additional time requested by the stipulation, is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).
- 5. This is the third request for a continuance.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the revocation of supervised release currently scheduled for December 29, 2016, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., be vacated and continued to April 18, 2017 at the hour of 1:30 p.m. Courtroom 6C.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: December 22, 2016